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## CAMERA-BASED SYSTEMS FOR LANE CHANGES

- Analysis carried out to support Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 111 rulemaking efforts to investigate camera-based side view systems
- Earlier work at VTTI focused on
- System influences on driver perceptual judgment to support lane change decisions
- Driver acclimation to and reliance on camerabased systems
- Impact of camera-based systems on driver eye glance behavior
- Potential drive adaptation or unintended consequences
- Influence of moderating factors (driver age, system experience, environmental conditions, etc.) on performance

- Usability and driver acceptance of camera-based systems.



## CAMERA-BASED SYSTEMS FOR LANE CHANGES

- Analysis carried out to support Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 111 rulemaking efforts to investigate camera-based side view systems
- Earlier work at VTTI found that camera-based displays
- Increase the driver's field of view relative to conventional mirrors
- Significantly reducing or eliminating blind spots
- Increasing vehicle detection rates and leading to fewer conflicts
- In control tests, sole reliance on camera-based displays can make it harder for drivers to gauge vehicle distances and closing speeds to support lane change decisions.
- Objectives:


Previous work at VTTI
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- Mine an existing set of radar data surrounding real-world lane change events
- Lane change conflicts and hazard analysis using Time-To-Collision (TTC) values



## DATA COLLECTION

- 36 Drivers from Southwest, Virginia
- Participants are Virginia Tech employees
- Age from 25 - 63 years with 15 females and 21 males
- 1-month participation period
- Prototype camera-based systems
- Three types of light-vehicle fleets
- Sedan A
- Truck

Phase 1 (Conventional Mirrors) $\quad$ Phase 2 (Camera-Based Systems)


## Controlled

Scenario Testing (Baseline Mirrors)
Session 1: Day
Session 2: Night


## - 90,880 miles driving data

- 46,730 miles under conventional mirror systems,
- 44,149 miles of travel under the prototype camera-based systems


## VEHICLE INSTRUMENTATION

- All vehicles equipped with VTTI proprietary Data Acquisition System (DAS) FlexDAS
- To capture and record time-sync video and parametric measures from key-on through key-off
- Information from vehicle networks
- Vehicle speed
- Lateral and longitudinal acceleration
- Yaw rate and steering angle
- Turn signal indicators
- GPS data
- Transmission gear state
- Brake and acceleration pedal inputs
- Lane marking information from VTTI's Road Scout
- Video footage from several cameras

- Following vehicle information from two rear facing corner radar units


## SHORT RANGE RADAR (SRR320)

- Two Continental Radar PLC units with short range radar (SRR320)
- Operating frequency: 24 Hz
- Range accuracy: $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$
- Speed accuracy: $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Field of view: $\pm 75^{\circ}$
- Range: 100 m
- Can track up to 40 targets


Source: continental-automotive.com/

- Object ID - index that assigned a unique identifier for a target being tracked numbered 0 to 39
- Range_x - longitudinal distance between the target and the LV, measured in meters
- Range_y - lateral distance between the target and the LV, measured in meters
- Rangerate_x - time derivative of Range_x, measured in m/s
- Rangerate_y - time derivative of Range_y, measured in m/s
- Age - lifetime of the target, measured in milliseconds
- Length - target length, measured in meters
- Width - target width, measured in meters
- Orientation - orientation of the target with respect to the radar's face, measured in rads
- Probability of Existence - probability of the target's existence; ranges from 0 to 1 , where 1 represents the highest probability of existence
- RCS - radar cross section of the target, measured in dBsm
- Stable - echo from the target is stable; denoted by true or false
- Status - status of the target tracked by radar: predicted, measured, or invalid


## SIGNALIZED LANE CHANGE EVENTS

|  | Overall <br> (Total) | Conventional <br> Mirror | Camera-Based displays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of trips | 4,486 | 2,243 | 2,243 |
| Total miles driven | 90,880 | 46,730 | 44,149 |
| Average miles per trip | 20.26 | 20.83 | 19.68 |
| Total aggregated number of signalized lane changes | 25,655 | 12,960 | 12,695 |
| Average number of signalized lane changes per trip |  |  |  |
| Overall (All trips) | 5.71 | 5.78 | 5.66 |
| Trips over 20 miles | 14.14 | 14.43 | 13.85 |
| Signalized lane change rate per 100 miles |  |  |  |
| Overall (All trips) | 21.69 | 16.24 | 16.93 |
| Trips over 20 miles | 31.50 | 30.97 | 32.03 |
| Signalized lane change direction |  |  |  |
| Number of left-hand lane changes | 12,090 | 6,092 | 5,998 |
| Number of right-hand lane changes | 13,565 | 6,868 | 6,697 |
| Number of signalized lane changes by time of day |  |  |  |
| Day | 20,382 | 10,633 | 9,749 |
| Night | 3,845 | 1,649 | 2,196 |
| Twilight | 1,428 | 678 | 750 |
| Number of signalized lane changes by fleet |  |  |  |
| Sedan A | 8,893 | 4,195 | 4,698 |
| Truck | 10,018 | 4,989 | 5,029 |
| Sedan B | 6,744 | 3,776 | 2,968 |

## Schematic



## DURATION OF LANE CHANGE EVENTS: SEDAN A



|  | Camera | Mirror |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | 3393 | 2770 |
| Mean | 2.58 | 2.79 |



## DURATION OF LANE CHANGE EVENTS: TRUCK



|  | Camera | Mirror |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | 3484 | 3193 |
| Mean | 2.80 | 2.57 |



## DURATION OF LANE CHANGE EVENTS: SEDAN B



|  | Camera | Mirror |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | 1971 | 2570 |
| Mean | 2.47 | 2.51 |



## LANE CHANGE DURATION: SUBJECT WISE



## LANE CHANGE DURATION: SUBJECT WISE

Right turns

|  | Sedan A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |






## LANE CHANGE DURATION: DEPENDENCE ON MILES



Right lane changes (All fleet)


## LANE CHANGE TRAJECTORIES



Example:

Lane reference time: 457920

Lane contact time: 457048

Lane crossover time: 459664

## TRAJECTORY OF LV RELATIVE TO LANES



## Right Turn



## EXTRACTION OF RADAR TRACES

- Data of all 40 radar objects is included
- Radar trace of each object ID shown by color in Figs

Raw radar traces of all 40 objects


Cleaned radar traces


## TRACES OF RIGHT RADAR OBJECTS



- For an entire trip duration of 40 min
- Data of all 40 radar objects is included
- Radar trace of each object ID shown by color in Figs


## Conditions

- Delete all data where Range $_{x} \leq 0 \mathrm{~m}$ and Range $_{\mathrm{y}}<0$ m for right radar
- Delete all data where Probability of Existence < 0.99
- Delete all data whose Status is not "measured" or "predicted"
- Select all data where $-0.5 \mathrm{rad} \leq$ Orientation $\leq 0.5 \mathrm{rad}$
- Select all data where $-10 \mathrm{~m} \leq$ Range $_{\mathrm{y}} \leq 10 \mathrm{~m}$
- Select all data where Age $\geq 15 \mathrm{~s}$
- Select all data where Stable $=1$



## TRACES OF LEFT RADAR OBJECTS



- For entire trip duration of 40 min
- Data of all 40 radar objects is included
- Radar trace of each object ID shown by color in Figs


## Conditions

- Delete all data where Range $_{x} \leq 0 \mathrm{~m}$ and Range $_{y}>0$ m for right radar
- Delete all data where Probability of Existence < 0.99
- Delete all data whose Status is not "measured" or "predicted"
- Select all data where $-0.5 \mathrm{rad} \leq$ Orientation $\leq 0.5 \mathrm{rad}$
- Select all data where $-10 \mathrm{~m} \leq$ Range $_{\mathrm{y}} \leq 10 \mathrm{~m}$
- Select all data where Age $\geq 15 \mathrm{~s}$
- Select all data where Stable = 1



## IDENTIFICATION OF FOLLOWING VEHICLES (FV)

1. Reference time: Lane change time of LV (from road scout data)
2. Trimming road scout data of LV

- Before 20 s form time of LV on the above lane during lane change (from road scout data)

3. Trim road scout data further by calculating

- Accumulative longitudinal distance (calculated from speed) $\geq-200 \mathrm{~m}$

4. Finding the LV's longitudinal and lateral position and corresponding time stamps from lane change reference position and the middle line between lanes (from road scout data)
5. Select radar for lane change

- Left lane changes -> Left radar
- Right lane changes -> Right radar

6. Using time stamps of LV's, trim cleaned radar data
7. With reference LV's longitudinal and lateral position, locate following
 vehicles' position and time (from trimmed radar)

## REPRESENTATION OF RADAR TRACES

Radar traces of File-ID $=28266$
Example: 1
Sonic excel index = 3684; Roadscout excel index = 12497
Car: Sedan A; Direction = Right; Radar $=$ RightSignal on time $=2016100$; Signal off time $=2020200$
Visually verified Lane change time $=$ 2019596; Lane contact time $=2018660$; Lane crossover time $=2021644$


Space representation


Time representation


## REPRESENTATION OF RADAR TRACES (CONT'D)

## Example: 2

Car $=$ Truck; Direction $=$ Right; Radar $=$ RightSignal on time $=2332400 ;$ Signal off time $=2334700$
Visually verified




## REPRESENTATION OF RADAR TRACES (CONT’D)

## Example: 3

Sonic excel index = 3680; Roadscout excel index $=12493$
Car: Sedan A; Direction = Left; Radar $=$ LeftSignal on time $=1503700$; Signal off time $=1507800$ Lane change time $=1508166$; Lane contact time $=1507194$; Lane crossover time $=1509906$



## IDENTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL OTHER VEHICLE (POV)

## Steps \& Conditions

- Omit the FVs ahead of LVs
- Select the FVs with
- (start of FV's time stamp - lane change time of LV) $\leq 500 \mathrm{~ms}$
- (Objects 9 and 2 are eliminated in Figure)
- For right lane changes, select
- $-5 \mathrm{~m} \leq \mathrm{FV}$ 's lat. dist. $\leq 0$ (Object 10 selected in Fig)
- For left lane changes, select
- $0 \leq$ FV's lat. dist. $\leq 5 \mathrm{~m}$
- More than one FV's satisfies
- POV is FV closest to LV (Object 10 is POV)



Sonic excel index = 16820; Roadscout excel index $=5048$
Car $=$ Truck; Direction $=$ Right; Radar $=$ RightSignal on time $=1321200 ;$ Signal off time $=1323500$ Lane change time = 1323580; Lane contact time $=1322708 ;$ Lane crossover time $=1325592$

## POV IDENTIFIED EVENTS

- Identified POV of 7425 signalized events
- 400+ events are visually verified using Hawkeye
- < 10 misrepresentations because of road curves etc.
- Variables calculated at lane contact and lane crossover times
- Radar number
- Range, x and y ; Range rate, $\dot{x}$ and $\dot{y}$
- Time-to-Collision (TTC = -Range/Range rate)
- Selected TTC with $0 \leq T T C \leq 100$ s
- 1,185 lane changes were identified
- 607 events under conventional mirrors
- 578 events under camera-based systems


## HISTOGRAMS OF TTC AT CONTACT POINT

$0 \leq \mathrm{TTC} \geq 100 \mathrm{sec}$


Total number of events $\mathbf{= 1 1 8 5}$
Fleet: All, Aid = Both


Total number of events $=1185$


## RELATIVE LOCATION OF POV VEHICLES






## RELATIVE LOCATION OF POV VEHICLES

Right Turns




## HISTOGRAM OF OCCURRENCES

Percentile Range (m) at time of LV on Lane Contact Point


Histogram of Range, X at Lane Contact Point
Total number of events $=1185$


Range, X (m)

| All Lane Changes (Left + Right) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sedan A | Truck | Sedan B |
| Number | 513 | 380 | 292 |
| Mean | 44.25 | 47.14 | 43.07 |
| SD | 19.90 | 20.95 | 21.35 |
| Median | 40.90 | 43.75 | 40.67 |
| Min | 2.20 | 3.95 | 4.25 |
| Max | 92.80 | 92.35 | 92.45 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ \%-ile | 17.38 | 17.80 | 7.88 |
| 25 th $\%$-ile | 28.14 | 30.32 | 27.8 |
| 50 | th $\%$-ile | 40.90 | 43.75 |
| 75 th $\%$-ile | 58.71 | 63.00 | 58.67 |
| 95 $^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 81.35 | 83.40 | 81.12 |

## HISTOGRAM OF OCCURRENCES (CONT'D)

Percentile Relative Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) at time of LV on Lane Contact Point


Relative speed $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})=\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {POV }}-v_{L V}$

| All Lane Changes (Left + Right) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sedan A | Truck | Sedan B |
| Number | 513 | 380 | 292 |
| Mean | 1.99 | 2.09 | 1.91 |
| SD | 1.33 | 1.40 | 1.35 |
| Median | 1.67 | 1.68 | 1.56 |
| Min | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| Max | 10.32 | 8.45 | 7.73 |
| $5^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.33 |
| $25^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 1.02 | 1.05 | 0.94 |
| $50^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 1.67 | 1.68 | 1.56 |
| $75^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 2.62 | 2.89 | 2.60 |
| $95^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 4.63 | 4.90 | 4.45 |

## HISTOGRAM OF OCCURRENCES (CONT'D)

Percentile TTC (s) at time of LV on Lane Contact Point


TCC (s)
All Lane Changes (Left + Right)

|  | Sedan A | Truck | Sedan B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 513 | 380 | 292 |
| Mean | 31.90 | 32.34 | 32.41 |
| SD | 22.80 | 22.43 | 23.17 |
| Median | 24.00 | 26.45 | 25.66 |
| Min | 2.39 | 0.98 | 3.61 |
| Max | 98.47 | 96.82 | 96.68 |
| $5^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 7.96 | 7.58 | 7.51 |
| $25^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 14.66 | 15.26 | 13.89 |
| $50^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 24.00 | 26.90 | 25.66 |
| $75^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 43.87 | 42.89 | 42.66 |
| $95^{\text {th }} \%$-ile | 80.68 | 84.00 | 84.44 |

## MEAN TTC VALUES AT LANE CONTACT POINT

| Values | Left Turns, <br> Mirror | Left Turns, <br> Camera | Right Turns, <br> Mirror | Right Turns, <br> Camera | Overall, <br> Mirror | Overall, <br> Camera |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | 471 | 466 | 136 | 112 | 607 | 578 |
| Mean | 28.72 | 32.05 | 41.61 | 35.70 | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 7 6}$ |
| SD | 20.38 | 23.08 | 25.35 | 24.07 | 22.23 | 23.30 |
| Median | 22.49 | 24.92 | 37.48 | 28.71 | 24.52 | 26.19 |
| Min | 1.65 | 2.48 | 4.96 | 0.98 | 1.65 | 0.98 |
| Max | 97.26 | 98.49 | 97.87 | 95.77 | 97.87 | 98.47 |



Right lane changes


## MEAN TTC VALUES AT LANE CONTACT POINT

Minimum TTC for each driver


## SUMMARY

- No significant differences in TTCs were observed between conventional mirror and camera-based system across any of the vehicle fleets (for combined Left/Right lane changes)
- Analyses revealed no critical conflicts or patterns of ill-advised lane changes under camera displays
- Use of camera-based systems did not appear to impact functional performance associated with making and executing lane changes.
- Camera-based systems, when appropriately designed, can help drivers detect potential conflicts because of the wider field of view


